Terrace, continued from page 1

a. Many a family dinner, Little League game, and wening was given up so that the opposition to these is would not go unspoken. Many of the warriors in the have moved to other places. I think both the developers and local environmentalists have grown the latest proposal is considerably scaled down. know that this place is special. Once it is built, it

rin the 1980s discussions among those concerned ne environment and quality of life centered largely saving open space in general. Sonoma County at e was still a bucolic retreat and any resident with es open knew how lucky s/he was to live here. per articles and editorials, as well as Sebastopol we newsletters, reflect a public charged up about ind its impacts, and seeing very clearly the danger orizon. The acquisition of open space, the Farm-titative, and other discussions led to Measure A, in Space Initiative and its funding mechanism, C. Looking back, we can be even more grateful oma County residents had the vision and backcreate, fund and approve these mechanisms. In nt political climate, one wonders what their fate ould be.

hen is a picture not from the scientific EIR point f impacts and mitigations, or a planner's view of ad usage. At this point there are several feet of cumenting the trail of legal meetings, hearings nony, people's books and notebooks, newsletews articles about this land. The records kept by have fought to save it are incomplete, kept in ier a bed, an old notebook on the night stand. igh this isn't a scholarly chronology of all that it has provoked, the evidence of community onumental. There were midnight phone calls talking to neighbors to rally the numerous who articulately voiced their dismay and anger neeting; walkers in the neighborhoods at close ht arranging photos for a local newspaper; ne editors of newspapers, council members and cials; gathering of signatures for several petiers of opposition and articles lambasting project

the Land's Power

ng on the long slope, the sun and clouds of a are brilliant blue and white. The long grass \prime ankles and sweeps green down to the wire ding the perforated line of division between . In front of me the sweep continues to pools, winding ribbon of Laguna water, beyond to a and on and on to the purple/blue hills across Rosa plain. I see the wire "cages" around the planted by school children. Working with the undation and other wonderful volunteers, in ant was secured from the Sonoma County y Foundation to replant portions of the Laguna iffered from modern agricultural practices and g development. I have worked with the school ho planted the young oaks, wild rose, d helped them spray paint the wonderful blue eclare: "Don't Dump here flows to Laguna." ed with those same children about the underter that flows beneath our feet, beneath the he secret streams that flow through Sebastopol, and empty through Ives Park and tumble on to

re now as an observer, as a lover of the penness of our country, as a person who has ake a stand in this community. I feel the land's beauty and understand that this tremendous connection that people speak of when they onnection to the earth. It used to exist, there pavement of shopping centers and housing rapers and freeways. But it is not retrievable. s land, we still have a chance.

to write this article when my family and I enough to attend a remarkable meeting in At this meeting, many Indian leaders and know this land, not as Palm Terrace, but as anch, met to discuss what could be done. To people there, the land is a last remaining ncient homeland. The extraordinary picture e on the ranch and before, left many in the moved. That story is another volume in ng time activist fighting development of this of my reactions was "The only reason this mpletely developed right now is because of vork of people over the last ten years. The zen action on this land is something that ecorded."

Chronological History of Palm Terrace Activity

The point of gathering this data is to try and capture some of the rage and passion of a decade of response to the grinding wheels of bureaucracy, development pres-sure and (therefore) money. Because gathering this information required sifting through stacks of papers in nu-merous locations, all of the data is not included. Anyone interested in a more detailed overview is strongly urged to check the public records. Read it as one person's view: to check the public records. Aread it as one person's view, a resident's view, an ordinary view of people fighting to save something they know is special and valuable and rare. Read it and know that it is only one person's story, out of how many others. Read it and understand that, even in the face of tremendous opposition, opposition that continued over the years, with new people picking up and carrying the torch, people who stayed the course for a decade, and even a local government which heard these people and responded to them, understand that even with all of this, it looks unpromising that we will be able to save the entire ecosystem from the current

July 27, 1990: The Press Democrat reports: "Since 1976, four separate studies of the Laguna have been carried out by various citizen's groups. The (then) most recent being the report by the Laguna Technical Advisory Committee appointed by (then) Congressman Bosco in August 1988." This committee recommended in its report to establish a National Wildlife Refuge in the Laguna.

July 1987: Palm Terrace proposed project; 35 lots plus mmercial submitted. Revised to 31 lots plus commercial.

March 22, 1988: Planning Commission directs that a Mitigated Negative Declaration (approval with conditions) be prepared.

April 26, 1988: Public hearing on Negative Declaration by

May 10, 1988: Planning Commission recommends adoption of Mitigated Negative Declaration and approves application for a Use Permit and Major Subdivision.

June 6, 1988: City Council adopts Mitigated Negative Declaration and approves Use Permit and Tentative Map, giving the project a green light.

August 8, 1988: Citizen's lawsuit filed by "Laguna Today and Tomorrow" against the city and the applicant stating that a new EIR is required.

October 23, 1988: Superior Court Judge Lawrence Saw-er grants the petitioners' claim requesting the city to set aside yer grants the per their decision.

December 6, 1988: City Council sets aside approvals for

January 4, 1989: City sends out Request for Proposals for

July 1989: EIR is amended to include a full assessment of cts of Palm Terrace and Saddleburr, an adjacent property the applicant also wishes to develop.

August 29, 1989: Applicant amended and expanded the roject by proposing to annex and subdivide the adjacent 32 cre property (Saddleburr).

November 4, 1989: Housing cap on five areas (including unincorporated Sebastopol) in the county is recommended.

April 13, 1990:-Laguna Is For Everyone ~ (L.I.F.E.) forms to fight Palm Terrace and preserve the Laguna.

April 24, 1990: Public hearing of new draft EIR in which 20 speakers and all seven members of the Planning Commission ripped the report and its authors for oversights, omissions, and deficiencies. Nevertheless, draft EIR accepted, a 3-2-1

May 3, 1990: A Press Democrat poll found that 74.6% of the county's voters call the rate of growth "too fast," an increase of 6% over the 68.3% in a similar poll taken in 1988.

May 10, 1990: "Sonoma Earth Action" representatives om Sonoma State University send statement of position rongly opposing Palm Terrace/Saddleburr.

June 6, 1990: "Citizens to Ensure Comprehensive Plan-ing" files "Notice of Intent" to circulate the Sebastopol lanning Initiative (Measure H on the ballot), a planned rowth ballot measure which arose in response largely to Palm growin Dallot Measure Which alone in response development on Atascadero Creek). Measure H called for a moratorium on major development while Sebastopol's new General Plan is

With the "introduction" of Indian homeland issues to those of us who fought to preserve this land because of environmental, social, aesthetic and other reasons, the importance of the place becomes even more compelling, more urgent, more focused. How can we, with what we know now, say no to these people wanting their homeland back? How have we arrived at such a place of wrongness that there is even the power to do this? I confess to having lost hope of saving this beautiful place, but as long as the grasses grow instead of concrete, my hope will never entirely vanish. Let us never forget the energy and commitment we gave. We did the right thing.

Jude Kreissman lives with her family in Sebastopol. She currently is the coordinator for home studies in the Sebastopol Union School District.

August 28, 1990: Public hearing on draft EIR for Palm Terrace and Saddleburr. Nearly every resident of Hutchins Avenue turns out to express dismay and anguish about the proposed developments. EIR approved by City Council, 3–1, with only Anne Magnie dissenting.

Following Measure H's not so enormous defeat in the November election, the City Council forms an Interim Growth Management Committee to create policy for development projects proposed to take place during the General Plan's update. The two ballot authors are on the committee. No real equidelines merge.

February 7, 1991: Revised application filed combining Palm Terrace and Saddleburr into single development, calling for 47 single family lots, 2–4 multifamily units and one office

March 26, 1991: Public hearing Petitions "To Save the Laguna from Massive Development" bearing many local signatures are presented.

April 23, 1991: Public hearing

April 30, May 14, May 28, 1991: Planning Commission ceives considerable public comment and testimony.

May, 1991: Letter from Terri Shorb, L.I.F.E. activist, to the Sebastopol Planning Commission, citing "...more than 1,200 residents of Sebastopol and environs have affixed their signa-tures to a strong statement of opposition to the project".

June 11, 1991: Planning Commission recommends denial of the project.

October 13, 1993: City receives letter from applicants

October 6, 1993: City planners review project which now as been scaled down from 29 to 19 units.

January 25, 1994: Sebastopol Tomorrow issues a "call to action" to citizens to attend the public hearing on Palm Terrace. A well attended hearing produces many reasoned, well researched, thoughtful and moving audience comments opposing Palm Terrace for many reasons. The Planning Commission recommends denial of the project to the cheers of the audience.

February 8, 1994: Sebastopol Planning Commission votes 4–3 to recommend denial of a Use Permit and Major Subdivision with exceptions for Palm Terrace.

March 15, 1994: Following public hearing on Palm Terrace, the City Council votes 41 to deny the project.

May 27, 1994: C.G.Y. Investments files lawsuit against city of Sebastopol stating that the city did not identify adequate findings to support denial of the project.

July 6, 1994: Palm Terrace owners said they are willing to to mediation to settle their \$2 million lawsuit against the ty. Because of the Orchard Park lawsuit and the firestorm of gative, inaccurate publicity that accompanied it, Sebastopol nds itself in a weakened position to defend itself against

November 1, 1994: Sebastopol City staff recommends filing an application for Open Space District's matching grant program. This is a program where the Open Space district and cities share the cost of purchasing open space that falls within city boundaries

November 15, 1994: Staff recommends that the city also apply for Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program grant for the Palm Terrace and Saddleburr properties.

August 8, 1995: City Council approves "Stipulated Settlement in Order", or settlement of the lawsuit. Requirements for including affordable housing have been eliminated, and some development fees waived.

September 19, 1995: City Council will reconsider the Palm Terrace proposal. The settlement states that if the City does not approve a total of 18 units for development by September 19, the lawsuit will resume.

ALERT!

Attend September 5th Meeting 7:00 pm, Sebastopol Library

September 5, 1995: What may be the final chapter of the Palm Terrace saga will be played out in the City Council meeting to hear the results of the city's decision about the lawsuit settlement. Public testimony at this meeting will not be part of the official record. If you have any feeling about the tragedy of Palm Terrace, come to the meeting and make two statements:

- All public testimony should be ON THE RECORD, so it can be used in future, if necessary.
- Your opposition to development of this land for anything other than a Native American cultural center.

Your voice is needed. Join us.

